



PCT/AU99/00232 5

REC'D 04 MAY 1999	
WIPO	PCT

AU99/232

Patent Office  
Canberra

I, KIM MARSHALL, MANAGER EXAMINATION SUPPORT AND SALES, hereby certify that the annexed is a true copy of the Provisional specification in connection with Application No. PP 2683 for a patent by THE STATE OF QUEENSLAND THROUGH THE DEPARTMENT OF PRIMARY INDUSTRIES, RURAL INDUSTRIES RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION and BIOPROPERTIES PHARMACEUTICALS PTY LTD filed on 30 March 1998.

I further certify that the above application is now proceeding in the names of THE STATE OF QUEENSLAND THROUGH THE DEPARTMENT OF PRIMARY INDUSTRIES, RURAL INDUSTRIES RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION and EIMERIA PTY LIMITED pursuant to the provisions of Section 104 of the Patents Act 1990.

## PRIORITY DOCUMENT

SUBMITTED OR TRANSMITTED IN  
COMPLIANCE WITH RULE 17.1(a) OR (b)



WITNESS my hand this Twenty-sixth  
day of April 1999

KIM MARSHALL  
MANAGER EXAMINATION SUPPORT AND  
SALES

AUSTRALIA

Patents Act 1990

PROVISIONAL SPECIFICATION

Applicant(s):

The State of Queensland through the Department of Primary Industries  
Department of Primary Industries  
80 Ann Street  
Brisbane Queensland 4001  
AUSTRALIA

Rural Industries Research and Development Corporation  
Level 1 AMA House  
42 Macquarie Street  
Barton ACT 2600  
AUSTRALIA

~~Bioproperties Pharmaceuticals Pty Ltd~~  
~~55 Wonga Road~~  
~~North Ringwood Victoria 3134~~  
AUSTRALIA

Elmeria Pty Limited  
55 Wonga Road  
North Ringwood VIC 3134

Address for Service:

DAVIES COLLISON CAVE  
Patent & Trade Mark Attorneys  
Level 10, 10 Barrack Street  
SYDNEY NSW 2000



Invention Title:

Vaccination modalities.

The invention is described in the following statement:

## VACCINATION MODALITIES

This invention relates to vaccination strains of *Eimeria* and vaccines including the same.

- 5 Coccidiosis is a disease of major economic importance for the intensive poultry industry conducted around the world. The causative agent is *Eimeria*, a protozoan parasite.

In the chicken seven different species of *Eimeria* have been identified, namely *E. maxima*, *E. acervulina*, *E. necatrix*, *E. tenella*, *E. murtis*, *E. praecox* and *E. brunetti*. Not all of  
10 these species may be present in any particular country or region. *E. maxima*, *E. acervulina*, *E. necatrix* and *E. tenella* are prevalent species of *Eimeria*.

*Eimeria* have a complicated life cycle, details of which are well described, for example, in *Poultry Coccidiosis: Diagnostic and testing procedures*, Second Edition, Pfizer Inc. Briefly,  
15 when a sporulated (infective) coccidial oocyst is ingested, sporozoites are released to initiate asexual and sexual cycles that lead to the development of thousand of new oocysts, which are shed in the faeces. These oocysts sporulate shortly thereafter and then are infectious for other birds. A single sporulated oocyst may give rise to as many as ten thousand progeny. *Eimeria* produce lesions in the gut by destruction of the epithelial cells in which they  
20 develop and multiply, and by trauma to the intestinal mucosa and submucosa.

The various species of *Eimeria* (which may otherwise be referred to as coccidia) can be identified by microscopic features of oocysts (size, shape, length and width), the preferred locations of coccidia in the gut, the nature of the lesions produced, prepatent  
25 period, sporulation times and reproductive index, and lack of cross protection between species. Identification of a particular species' infection can therefore be made with general accuracy based on any one or more of these features.

The clinical signs of coccidiosis include diarrhoea, which may be mucoid or bloody and  
30 dehydration. These symptoms are generally followed by ruffled feathers, anaemia,

listlessness, loss of weight, retraction of the head and neck and somnolence. Coccidiosis in laying hens is usually observed by a drop in egg production. Infected growing birds, soon cease to grow satisfactorily. With highly virulent strains mortality in chickens is generally very high.

5

According to 1997 figures about twenty billion birds are reared each year across the world. Control of coccidiosis in such a large bird population has generally been by anti-coccidial drugs which have been in the whole effective. Not surprisingly, however, drug resistance is now a problem with an increasing number of *Eimeria* strains being resistant to  
10 chemotherapeutic drugs. The development of drug resistant, highly virulent *Eimeria* strains has the potential to devastate the poultry industry.

15

The possible control of coccidiosis by vaccination has received interest over the years, without any great success. Attempts to develop genetically engineered or sub-unit vaccines have so far been unsuccessful (Shirley, 1992, *Br. Vet. J.*, 148:479). A living vaccine, (Paracox, Pitman-Moore) containing oocysts from attenuated strains of coccidia has been used in Great Britain (Farrent, 1992, *Poultry World*, 4:11).

20

The Paracox live vaccine referred to above is based on precocious lines of various *Eimeria* species. Precocious lines of *Eimeria* are populations that complete their endogenous life cycle in the host more quickly than wild-type strains. The process of this selection was first described by Jeffers (1975, *J. Parasitol.* 61, 1083-1090). Serial passage in the chicken of the first oocyst produced during infection yields parasites characterised by an abbreviated life cycle, and possibly some attenuation of virulence. Problems associated with precocious  
25 lines are failure to protect against virulent *Eimeria* species, poor reproductive capacity such that it is not feasible to produce a vaccine using such strains, and issues of stability associated with attenuation, and maintenance of infectivity. For example, Shirley and Bellatti (1988, *Re. Vet. Sci.*, 44:25-28) describe a precocious line of *E. maxima* which protected poorly against challenge with virulent heterologous strains.

30

The present inventors have surprisingly produced vaccine strains of *E. maxima*, *E. acervulina*, *E. tenella* and *E. necatrix*, which are strongly protective against virulent strains from their respective species, grow at a rate which allow vaccine production, and are stable

5 The geographical isolation of Australia from foreign coccidial diseases ensures the vaccines and vaccine strains described herein are unique, and therefore clearly distinguishable from previously described precocious *Eimeria* strains.

In accordance with a first aspect of this invention, there is provided a vaccine which  
10 includes one or more strains of *E. maxima* ARI-73/97, *E. acervulina* ARI-77/97, *E. tenella* ARI-11/98 and/or *E. necatrix* MCK01 in association with a veterinarily acceptable carrier or excipient.

The vaccine may contain other *Eimeria* species in addition to the 1 to 4 strains referred to  
15 above. For example such additional species may be *Eimeria* strains of *E. brunetti*, *E. mitis*, and/or *E. praecox* and/or one or more other vaccine strains of *E. maxima*, *E. acervulina*, *E. necatrix* and/or *E. tenella*. Such additional strains, may not be as useful as the strains of the present invention, however they may still be advantageous components of a wide spectrum vaccine composition. For example, one embodiment of a vaccine may contain *E.*  
20 *maxima* ARI-73/97, *E. acervulina* ARI-77/97, *E. tenella* ARI-11/98 and/or *E. necatrix* MCK01, and optionally one or more strains selected from *E. brunetti*, *E. mitis*, *E. mivati*, and/or *E. praecox* to give a multivalent vaccine. A preferred vaccine contains three or four vaccine strains of *Eimeria* selected from *E. maxima* ARI-73/97, *E. acervulina* ARI-77/97, *E. tenella* ARI-11/98 and/or *E. necatrix* MCK01.

25 Additional strains of this invention having vaccine competency may be prepared by immunising birds with one or more of the strains *E. maxima* ARI-73/97, *E. acervulina* ARI-77/97, *E. tenella* ARI-11/98 and/or *E. necatrix* MCK01, and challenging the immunised birds with candidate strains from field isolates. Those strains which produce a large number  
30 of oocysts in the immunised birds, due to the vaccine not being cross protective for them

(an unlikely event), are then subject to serial passage through birds to give a strain of the invention being strongly protective against virulent strains, having a growth rate which allows vaccine production, is stable, and non-resistant to coccidiostatic drugs.

5 The organisms in the vaccines of this invention are in the form of whole and/or fractured or sporulated oocysts or sporocysts. For convenience the term oocysts will be taken to mean sporulated oocysts, or a mixture of oocysts and sporulated oocysts. Oocysts are sporulated according to methods well known in the art, such as described by Jensen et al (1976) The Journal of Parasitology 2, 195-198, and 199-202. Only sporulated oocysts or sporocysts  
10 are infective to birds by the oral (including eye drop) route.

Veterinarily acceptable carriers include water, saline, buffered saline such as phosphate buffered saline, or any other physiologically suitable medium. Carriers may include one or more suspending agents, thickening agents or preservatives including physiologically  
15 suitable gels, gelatins, hydrosols, cellulose or polysaccharide gums. Excipients may include vitamins, antibiotics and antifungals.

Vaccines may comprise one or more *Eimeria* strains, and may contain from about 50 to about 50,000 oocysts per ml or more. The number of each *Eimeria* species present in the  
20 vaccine will generally be the same for the strains according to this invention. However, where additional vaccine strains are used, for example such as from *E. brunetti*, which are additional strains and not strains according to the present invention, a larger number of organisms may be proportionally used due to the less protective response compared with the strains of this invention.

25 The vaccines according to this invention may contain other vaccine components effective against other poultry diseases. Examples include Marek's vaccine, Mycoplasma and Salmonella vaccines.

30 The vaccines according to this invention may be administered *in ovo* (for example from days

18-20 of incubation), to chicks and adult birds. The route of administration may be orally, intraocularly, or by other known means of vaccine administration. As an example, a vaccine in an appropriate medium may be sprayed over a group of birds, sprayed on feed, administered as an eye drop, in feed water, as a part of prepared feed, or incorporated into a peck gel (such as sporulated oocysts in a gelatin matrix).

In another aspect this invention relates to vaccine *Eimeria* strains selected from the group *E. maxima* ARI-73/97, *E. acervulina* ARI-77/97, *E. tenella* MCK01 and/or *E. necatrix* ARI-11/98.

10

Deposits of the *Eimeria* strains according to this invention were made with the Australian Government Analytical Laboratories (AGAL) a Budapest Treaty Depository, of 1 Suakin Street, Pymble, New South Wales, 2073, Australia on 17 March 1997. Details are as follows:

15	<b>Strain</b>	<b>Accession No.</b>
	<i>E. maxima</i> ARI 73/97	NM 98/02796
	<i>E. acervulina</i> ARI-77/97	NM 98/02794
	<i>E. necatrix</i> MCK01	NM 98/02797
	<i>E. tenella</i> ARI-11/98	NM 98/02795

20

The *Eimeria* strains of the present invention may be reproduced by standard procedures in the art, such as the passage through uninfected fowl (i.e. not subject to *Eimeria* infection). Each strain may be produced in an uninfected fowl, oocysts recovered, sporulated and then combined with a carrier and/or excipient.

25

Oocysts and/or sporocysts of *Eimeria* strains according to the invention may be frozen (cryopreserved) in liquid nitrogen for storage according to methods known in the art such as according to M.W. Shirley, *Biotechnology Guidelines on Techniques in Coccidiosis Research* pp 97- (1995) ISBN 92-827-4970-3. By way of example sporocysts suspended in protein enriched media may be frozen in liquid nitrogen in the presence of dimethyl

30

sulfoxide or glycerol, such as from 1 to 10% w/w.

This invention will now be described with reference to the following non-limiting examples:

5     **EXAMPLE 1**

A large number of *Eimeria* isolates from non-commercial (backyard) chicken flocks in Queensland of varying pathogenicity were collected. The isolates were generally mixed populations of *Eimeria* species however in most cases a single *Eimeria* species was the  
10     predominant pathogenic organism. Isolates were sorted by microscopic analysis into their respective species selected from *E. maxima*, *E. acervulina*, *E. tenella* and/or *E. necatrix*. Single oocysts from strains of each species were used to infect individual non-*Eimeria* infected birds generally in a volume of media, such as about 1ml. Faeces from these birds were monitored for oocysts using the salt flotation technique (M.W. Shirley, *Biotechnology*  
15     *Guidelines on Techniques in Coccidiosis Research* pp 1-25 (1995) ISBN 92-827-4970-3) and oocysts recovered.

Individual *Eimeria* free birds were infected with up to 2000 oocysts of the purified strains to amplify them. These birds were euthanased and examined to confirm that the intestinal  
20     lesions were consistent with the species with which the bird was infected using the criteria mentioned above.

**Attenuating the strains**

25     Strains were attenuated by serial passage by selecting for rapid development. Briefly, birds were infected with 1000-5000 parasites and monitored so that the first parasites voided were collected separately. This process was repeated a number of times (such as from 5 to 30 times) and parasites were progressively selected that developed faster; coinciding with this was their diminished ability to multiply in the chicken's gut and cause lesions. For many  
30     isolates precocious strains could not be produced, the strains were extremely pathogenic,



- 7 -

showed poor growth rates, were drug resistant, and/or were unstable. These strains were discarded. On testing of the remaining strains for protection against challenge with a heterologous strain from the same species, many strains failed to provide cross protection within *Eimeria* species which is essential for vaccine development. From this work four vaccine strains of *Eimeria* were surprisingly produced from the species *E. maxima*, *E. acervulina*, *E. tenella* and *E. necatrix* all of which are strongly protective against virulent strains from their respective species, grow at a rate which allow vaccine production, were non-resistant to coccidiostatic drugs and are stable. The strains were designated *E. maxima* ARI-73/97, *E. acervulina* ARI-77/97, *E. tenella* MCK01 and/or *E. necatrix* ARI-11/98.

10

Oocysts were sporulated as follows: Faeces containing oocysts are placed into a solution of potassium dichromate. The dichromate acts as a bacteriostat. Air is bubbled through the solution. The process takes place in an incubator at 30°C. The process of sporulation is verified by observing the changes in the oocysts under a light microscope at a minimum of 110 x magnification. Sporulation time is between 18 to 30 hours, depending on the species. After sporulation suspensions are placed into a refrigerator for vaccine preparation or storage.

15

Where there is less than 100 ml of faeces and dichromate, 50 ml of faecal suspension is placed into large Petrie dishes and placed into the incubator at 30°C. There is sufficient surface area and minimum depth to ensure oxygen diffuses into the mixture and sporulation occurs.

20

Strains were cryopreserved such as by immersion of oocysts in media (in an appropriate receptacle) into liquid nitrogen.

25

## EXAMPLE 2

A series of trials were carried out using vaccines containing each of the strains produced in Example 1, combinations of from 2 to 4 of these strains, as well as various combinations

30

of strains according to Example 1 combined with other strains to give a vaccine. All these vaccines showed excellent protection against infection with heterologous *Eimeria* strains as well as treatment of *Eimeria* infection.

5 In one experiment sporocysts of *E. maxima* ARI-73/97, *E. acervulina* ARI-77/97 were combined in a vaccine with the Medichick strain of *E. necatrix*, and the Darryl strain of *E. tenella*. Birds were vaccinated with a vaccine containing 250 sporulated oocysts of each strain combined in 1 ml of saline.

10 Birds were maintained on solid floors within the wire cages for 21 days to assist reinfection with excreted oocysts. Feed and water were provided *ad lib* throughout the trial.

All birds were individually weighed and marked by wing clipping at time of challenge. Vaccinated and susceptible positive control groups of birds were either challenged with 6000  
15 heterologous strain sporulated oocysts of Ingham's *Eimeria* strains of *E. tenella* and *E. necatrix*, and Medichick strains (*E. maxima* and *E. acervulina*) or 6000 oocysts of homologous strains. Weight gains and morbidity were compared between infected birds of the different treatment groups and uninfected control groups after 12 days. Results are shown in Table 1. ANOVA models (analysis of variance) appropriate to the designs were  
20 used to test the effects of treatments for statistical significance.

### Table 1

Pen trial to compare mean live weight<sup>1</sup> gain in birds vaccinated as above and susceptible  
25 birds when challenged with  $6 \times 10^3$  oocysts each of Medichick strains of *E. acervulina* and *E. maxima* and Ingham's strains of *E. tenella* and *E. necatrix* or  $6 \times 10^3$  oocysts each of homologous strains of the 4 parasites.

- 9 -

Treatment group (6 replicates)	Vaccination dose Quadravalent	Challenge dose <sup>2</sup>	Number of birds euthanased during challenge due to clinical symptoms	Mean weight gain (grams per bird) <sup>3</sup>
1	25 oocysts of each of the 4 species	6 x 10 <sup>3</sup> oocysts of each heterologous strain	0/18	148 <sup>b</sup>
2	25 oocysts of each of the 4 species	6 x 10 <sup>3</sup> oocysts of each homologous strain	0/18	227 <sup>a</sup>
3	nil	6 x 10 <sup>3</sup> oocysts of each heterologous strain	4/18	28 <sup>d</sup>
4	nil	6 x 10 <sup>3</sup> oocysts of each homologous strain	3/18	78 <sup>c</sup>
5	nil	nil	0/18	201 <sup>a</sup>
LSD (P=0.05)	-	-	-	36

<sup>1</sup> measured 12 days after challenge

<sup>2</sup> given on day 21 post vaccination

<sup>3</sup> Means within columns followed by common superscript letters are not significantly different at the 5% level.

As shown in Table 1 all vaccinated groups had significantly greater weight gains upon challenge with heterologous or homologous strains than unvaccinated birds. Clinical symptoms of *Eimeria* infection were not observed in the vaccinated birds, compared with the untreated birds.

**EXAMPLE 3**

A vaccine comprising *E. maxima* ARI-73/97 (15 oocysts), *E. acervulina* ARI-77/97 (25 oocysts), *E. tenella* MCK01 (25 oocysts) and/or *E. necatrix* ARI-11/98 (15 oocysts) per vaccine dose was prepared. The vaccine was used in a trial to measure body weight and immune stimulation of birds subject to immunisation, compared with control non-vaccinated birds. Of the four groups of birds tested, the first received the vaccine by eye drop, the second orally by feed, the third orally by drinking water, and the fourth group was a control. Birds were maintained in pens with solid floors and were reared on feed and water *ad libitum*, with light and heating being provided by lamps.

Post vaccination, all groups of birds vaccinated at one day old or at six days had similar growth rates when compared with unvaccinated controls. This indicates the vaccine does not effect growth rate underscoring its utility. Birds were challenged with pathogenic *Eimeria* strains at 10 x the number of oocysts used for vaccination.

Unvaccinated and challenged birds had a significantly higher oocyst production, which is characteristic for the spread of *Eimeria* infection amongst birds. The markedly reduced number of oocysts produced by vaccinated birds demonstrates a protective immunising response.

The unvaccinated group showed a growth rate drop post challenge whereas vaccinated birds continued to gain body weight. This is again a demonstration of a protective immunising response.

Throughout this specification, unless the context requires otherwise, the word "comprise", or variations such as "comprises" or "comprising" or the term "includes" or variations thereof, will be understood to imply the inclusion of a stated element or integer or group of elements or integers but not the exclusion of any other element or integer or group of elements or integers. In this regard, in construing the claim scope, an embodiment where

- 11 -

one or more features is added to any of claims is to be regarded as within the scope of the invention given that the essential features of the invention as claimed are included in such an embodiment.

- 12 -

## THE CLAIMS

1. A vaccine which includes one or more strains of *E. maxima* ARI-73/97, *E. acervulina* ARI-77/97, *E. tenella* ARI-11/98 and/or *E. necatrix* MCK01 in association  
5 with a veterinarily acceptable carrier or excipient.
2. A vaccine according to claim 1 which includes at least one additional precocious *Eimeria* strain.
- 10 3. A vaccine according to claim 1 which includes *E. maxima* ARI-73/97, *E. acervulina* ARI-77/97, *E. tenella* ARI-11/98 and/or *E. necatrix* MCK01.
4. A vaccine according to claim 3 which includes vaccine strains of *E. brunetti*, *E. mitis* and *E. praecox*.
- 15 5. A vaccine according to claim 1 which includes a vaccine against another poultry disease.
6. An *Eimeria* strain selected from *E. maxima* ARI-73/97, *E. acervulina* ARI-77/97, *E. tenella* ARI-11/98 and/or *E. necatrix* MCK01.  
20

DATED this 30th day of March, 1998.

25  
The State of Queensland through the Department of Primary Industries  
Rural Industries Research and Development Corporation and  
~~Bioproperties (Australia) Pty Ltd~~ *Eimeria Pty Limited*  
By Their Patent Attorneys

30 DAVIES COLLISON CAVE

